



**POLITECNICO**  
MILANO 1863

# Why and how teaching ethics to CS and engineering students: current issues and future challenges

**Viola Schiaffonati**

*Artificial Intelligence and Robotics Lab*

*Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria*

# Agenda

- **Bottom-up approach**
  - A **representative example** and its discussion
  - Goals, aims, outcomes, issues
- A **wider framework** but rooted in **concrete cases**
  - Not only ethics
  - Not only education
  - Not only a single institution
- Open **challenges**

# Let's start with an example

- **Computer Ethics** (CE) course taught by me
- 5 ECTS for **master degree** students in **computer engineering** at Politecnico di Milano
- Active from academic year 2015/2016
- 1 semester long
- **Optional**
- Around **120-130 students** each year

# CE: goals and aims

- Application of **ethical theories** to **problems** created, aggravated or transformed by **computer technology**
- Chance to reflect on the **ethical, social, and cultural impact** of **computer technology** by focusing on the issues faced by and brought about by computing professionals
- Possibility to become **aware** of the **ethical** and **social issues** connected to the **design** of **information technologies** and to look at current events through an **ethical lens**

# Goals for engineering ethics education (Martin et al., 2021)

Categories	Goals
Moral sensibility*	<p>Developing proficiency in recognizing social and ethical issues in engineering (Harris et al., 1996; Pritchard, 2005; Van de Poel &amp; Royakkers, 2011; Martin &amp; Schinzinger, 2013)</p> <p>Encouraging students to take ethics seriously (Harris et al., 1996)</p> <p>Increasing students' sensitivity to ethical issues (Davis, 1999; Harris et al., 1996)</p>
Moral analysis*	<p>Analyzing moral problems in terms of facts, values, stakeholders and their interests (Van de Poel &amp; Royakkers, 2011)</p> <p>Comprehending, clarifying, and assessing arguments on opposing sides of moral issues (Martin &amp; Schinzinger, 2013)</p> <p>Facilitating the analysis of key ethical principles (Harris et al., 1996)</p> <p>Exploring the perspective of those in other positions (Lynch &amp; Kline, 2000; Martin et al., 2019)</p>
Moral creativity*	<p>Considering different options for action in the light of (conflicting) moral values and relevant facts (Van de Poel &amp; Royakkers, 2011)</p> <p>Stimulating ethical imagination (Coeckelbergh, 2006; Harris et al., 1996; Martin &amp; Schinzinger, 2013; Pritchard, 2005)</p> <p>Creatively exploring solutions rather than choosing a dilemma horn (Lynch &amp; Kline, 2000)</p> <p>Enhancing divergent thinking (Haws, 2001)</p>
Moral judgment*	<p>Making moral judgments based on different ethical theories or frameworks, including professional ethics and common-sense morality (Van de Poel &amp; Royakkers, 2011)</p> <p>Improving ethical judgement (Davis, 1999; Harris et al., 1996; Pritchard, 2005), understood as the ability to reliably respond to any situation with a course of action that makes life better (Davis, 2012)</p> <p>Forming consistent and comprehensive viewpoints based on consideration of relevant facts (Martin &amp; Schinzinger, 2013)</p>
Moral decision-making*	<p>Enabling students to make decisions based on different ethical theories and frameworks (Van de Poel &amp; Royakkers, 2011)</p> <p>Providing conceptual tools for reflecting on how organizational practices can potentially threaten public safety and welfare and how to counter the normalization of deviance (Lynch &amp; Kline, 2000)</p> <p>Helping students deal with ambiguity in decision-making situations (Harris et al., 1996)</p>
Moral argumentation*	<p>Developing the ability to morally justify one's actions and to discuss and evaluate them (Van de Poel &amp; Royakkers, 2011)</p>

Categories	Goals
Moral knowledge	<p>Gaining knowledge of professional standards, codes and principles (Davis, 1999; Harris et al., 1996; Pritchard, 2005)</p> <p>Giving students access to the language of ethics to express and support one's moral views adequately to others (Haws, 2001; Martin &amp; Schinzinger, 2013)</p> <p>Grounding one's views and decisions in moral theory (Lynch &amp; Kline, 2000)</p>
Moral design	<p>Considering how values, as well as modes of use and interaction, can be implicitly or explicitly inscribed into engineering artefacts at the design stage (van de Poel &amp; Verbeek, 2006; Verbeek, 2008)</p>
Moral agency and action	<p>Responding wisely and responsibly to situations in a way that satisfies as many potentially competing constraints as possible (Whitebeck, 1995)</p> <p>Empowering students to reshape the social, economic and legal context of practice (Conlon &amp; Zandvoort, 2011)</p> <p>Encouraging students to take an activist stance "for what is right, good and just" (Hodson, 1999)</p> <p>Inspire the engineers of the future to challenge the status quo and to strengthen the profession (Lawlor, 2021)</p>
Moral character and virtuous development	<p>Increasing students' ethical willpower (Davis, 1999; Harris et al., 1996)</p> <p>Cultivating students' sense of professional identity (Loui, 2005; Miller, 2018)</p> <p>Cultivating virtues, such as respect for nature for engaging in environment-friendly engineering (Harris et al., 2019), <i>phronesis</i> for identifying certain decision situations and actions as ethically relevant (Frigo et al., 2021), objectivity, care and honesty (Moriarty, 2009; Nair &amp; Bulleit, 2020)</p>
Moral emotional development	<p>Reflecting on the role of emotions in the development and acceptability of risky technologies (Roesser, 2012) or in the effects of climate change (Lönngren et al., 2020)</p> <p>Engaging learners in their emotional life as to develop a sense of empathy with people across physical, social and cultural distances and a language for emotions (Tormey, 2005; Hess &amp; Fila, 2016; Hess et al., 2017)</p>
Moral situatedness	<p>Understanding the social relations of expertise in connection with technology management and decision-making (Devon, 1999)</p> <p>Helping students situate their work in its contribution to their community (Haws, 2001)</p> <p>Acknowledging the social dimension of engineering practice (Martin et al., 2019)</p>

# CE: topics

- Responsibility in an engineering perspective
- Normative ethics and its tools
- Ethical issues in the design of technology and Design Ethics
- Ethics in IT-configured societies
- Information flow, privacy, and surveillance
- Digital intellectual property
- Digital order
- Codes of conduct for computing professionals
- Invited lectures on specific topics

# The case of responsibility

- Accident causation and responsibility
- **Not only first-order causes**
  - Immediate production pressures, poor communication, lack of training
- But also **second-order** underlying **mechanisms** that generate them (Tombs, 2007)
  - Factors present at distinct levels such as **individual agents**, the **contexts** in which they operate, the **workplace culture**, the **political environment** in which a company is based
- **Backward-looking approach** (passive responsibility) and **anticipation** (active responsibility) (van de Poel & Royakkers, 2011)

# CE: approach

- **Not only case-studies**
- Integration of representative **examples** and **theoretical frameworks**
  - Looking for a balance between **practice** and **theory**
- Focus on **depth** rather than extension
  - Important from a **methodological point of view**
- Focus **not only** on **computer science** and **engineering** topics
  - Responsibility and engineering design



# A problem of method (Martin et al., 2021)

- Various **teaching methods** discussed in the literature (e.g., Harding et al., 2013)
  - Case studies, lectures and presentations, roleplaying activities, in-class or online discussion, debates, voting, games, online courses, films and videos, creative fiction, science fiction, community service, field trips and visits
  - Still **limited empirical research** that could elucidate the **effectiveness** of each teaching approach and their impact on student engagement

# CE: learning outcomes

- To acquire a **broad perspective** on the **ethical and social impacts** and **implications** of **information technologies**
- To recognize and analyze **ethical** and **social aspects** and issues **inherent in information technology**
- To use **critical skills** in **clarifying** and **ethically analyzing** case-studies involving information technology
- To explore and assess **possibilities for solving or diminishing** existing and emerging ethical and social **problems** that attach information
- To be **better prepared** to **future professional life** in an **ethically** and **socially responsible way**

# CE: assessment

- **Class presentation, written essay, oral examination**
- **Huge effort** but **necessary** to avoid standardized tests which can be interpreted as an attempt to bring the positivist approach characteristic of the technical culture into a nontechnical subject
- Ethics is **neither a checklist** **neither a manual** with the right answers

# Future reflective professionals

- **Awareness** of **philosophical**, **ethical** and **social** issues of **computer science**, in particular, and of **science** and **technology**, in general
- Now this is almost obvious ...

# Skeptisism

- **Long process** to overcome **skeptisism** (usually colleagues and not students!)
- New forms of **reasoning**
  - It is possible to be **rigorous** even in the absence of numbers, formulas and equations
  - The **conceptual tools** of a **philosophical argument**
- Adding **new contents** means eliminating some of the old ones
  - This is a purely **practical problem** but a **serious** one indeed

# The Times They Are A-Changin'

- The strong **impact of science and technology** on society is becoming increasingly clear
  - Examples of the impact of information technologies are common in everyday life
- **Ethics** has a **central role** for a number of reasons
  - Ethical issues are **easier** to be understood for non experts
  - It is also becoming a matter of **fashion** and **visibility** (ethicswashing as a risk)

# A wider framework

- Times are changing but these topics require to be addressed within a **wider framework** to move toward a **real paradigm shift**
  - Not only ethics
  - Not only education
  - Not only a single institution

# Not only ethics

- To **broaden the constellation of courses** from the humanities and social sciences in computer science and engineering curricula
  - Philosophy of science, philosophy of technology
  - Science and technology studies (STS)
  - Sociology
  - History
  - ...
- Importance to add **further dimensions** and **bypass the rhetoric of soft skills**
- **How to make room** for new contents in crowded curricula in which technical and scientific concepts are given priority



# Not only education

- This type of **education** needs to be strongly integrated with **research of interdisciplinary nature**
- Research shows the **complexities** of these topics and the **integration** can **avoid**
  - Instructors' lack of familiarity and training in teaching ethics such that **simplistic teaching** might lead to **simplistic messages**
  - Engineering instructors **lacking guidance** and training on how to teach ethics (Harding et al., 2009)
- Need of a **new generation of scholars** trained in the humanities and social sciences
  - Deeply acquainted to technical and scientific areas
  - Working in **departments** of science and engineering
  - Knowledgeable in **teaching** to students not in the humanities neither in the social sciences

# A possible example



- **Interdisciplinary** network of scholars from humanities and social sciences (about 20 people)
- Expertise in **philosophical, ethical** and **social** issues related to **science, technology, and engineering**
- **META disciplines** (from the Greek meaning of 'reflecting on')
- [www.meta.polimi.it](http://www.meta.polimi.it)

# META teaching

- Courses in the **humanities** and **social sciences** to (mostly) **master** and **doctoral students**
  - **Stand alone courses:** *Ethics for Technology, Computer Ethics, Critical Thinking, Philosophy of Science and Technology, Sociology of Science, ...*
  - **Integrated courses:** *Power Systems: Ethical Issues and Social Implications, Gender and Technology*
- **Instructors** with specific **expertise** in the **humanities** and **social sciences** and working in a technical university on topics at the **intersection** of **humanities/social sciences** and **science/engineering**

# Challenges

- **Co-teaching activities** (integrated courses) involving engineering and philosophy or social sciences instructors
  - To address the **problem of expertise** and convey to students a message about the importance of this subject
  - **Expensive, time and labour-intensive approach**, which requires long-term contact and research efforts (Bombaerts et al., 2021)
  - **'Second- rate academic work'** (Taebi & Kastenberg, 2019)

# META research

- **Humanists** and **social scientists embedded** in different departments
- Researches **in collaboration** with engineering, architecture and design colleagues
- Hiring **young scholars**: new departments, new fields, **early integration**

# Institutional change

- Necessity of a **cohesive** and **purposeful strategy** for **implementing ethics** in a **systematic manner**
- Ideally an **implementation strategy** should take precedence over the introduction of ethics learning activities (Li & Fu, 2012)
- A systematic implementation of ethics requires a **wide scale transformation** undertaken **at institutional level** (LeBlanc, 2002)
- Several **challenges**
  - **Budgetary** pressures
  - **Limited institutional resources** for hiring external scholars with an expertise in this area
  - **Insufficient space** in the curriculum
  - **Lack of guidance**

# The complexities of interdisciplinarity

- **Sociotechnical divide** and the **two cultures** still present (Snow 1959)
- Need of a **hybrid** and **comprehensive paradigm** integrating the scientific, technical, social, political and environmental dimensions of science and engineering (van den Hoven, 2019)
- **Interdisciplinarity** is recognized as important in **theory**, but then the **practice** is **different**
  - Schemes of **funding**
  - Venues of **publication**

# Why is this integration is important?

- **Critical thinking** to deal with **complex problems**
- **Avoiding ethical** and **social risk** with the tools of humanities and social sciences (technology is not neutral)
- **Collaborative model** within the research system
- Universities and their **social commitments** towards communities



# A look at the future

- At **PoliMi** level
  - **Bachelor students** still missing
  - **Mandatory** or **elective** courses
- At a **general** level
  - Educating a **future generation of humanists** and integrating them
  - Not following the **current fashion** but **exploiting** it in a systematic way
  - Promoting a '**real**' and **long standing integration**
- Several **questions**, no answers, importance of **open debate**



# References

- Bombaerts, G., Doulougeri, K., Tsui, S., Laes, E., Spahn, A., & Martin, D. A. (2021). Engineering students as co-creators in an ethics of technology course. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 27, 48.
- Harding, T. S., Sutkus, J., Finelli, C., & Carpenter, D. (2009). Engineering culture and the ethical development of undergraduate students. In *Proceedings of the research in engineering education symposium 2009*.
- Harding, T. S., Carpenter, D. D., & Finelli, C. J. (2013). Two years later: A longitudinal look at the impact of engineering ethics education. In *Proceedings of the 2013 ASEE annual conference and exposition*.
- LeBlanc, S. E. (2002). EC 2000 from both sides of the fence. In *Proceedings of the 2002 American society for engineering education annual conference and exposition*.
- Li, J., & Fu, S. (2012). A systematic approach to engineering ethics education. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 18(2), 339–349.
- Snow, C. P. (1959). *The two cultures and the scientific revolution*. Cambridge University Press.
- Martin, D.A., Conlon, E. & Bowe, B. A Multi-level Review of Engineering Ethics Education: Towards a Socio-technical Orientation of Engineering Education for Ethics. *Sci Eng Ethics* 27, 60 (2021).
- Taebi, B., & Kastenbergh, W. E. (2019). Teaching engineering ethics to PhD students: A Berkeley–Delft initiative. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 25, 1763–1770.
- Tombs, S. (2007). A political economy of corporate killing. *Criminal Justice Matters*, 70(1), 29–30.
- Van de Poel, I., & Royakkers, L. (2011). *Ethics, technology and engineering: An introduction*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- van den Hoven, J. (2019). Ethics and the UN sustainable development goals: The case for comprehensive engineering. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 25, 1789–1797.

# Issues

- **Budgetary** pressures
- **Limited institutional resources** for hiring external scholars with an expertise in this area
- **Insufficient space** in the curriculum
- **Lack of guidance**
- **Interdisciplinarity** is recognized as important in **theory**, but then the **practice** is **different**
  - Schemes of **funding**
  - Venues of **publication**
- **Co-teaching activities** (integrated courses) involving engineering and philosophy or social sciences instructors
  - To address the **problem of expertise** and convey to students a message about the importance of this subject
  - **Expensive, time and labour-intensive approach**, which requires long-term contact and research efforts (Bombaerts et al., 2021)
  - **'Second-rate academic work'** (Taebi & Kastenberg, 2019)